

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS

California Rules of Court, rule 977(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 977(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 977.

**IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FIFTH APPELLATE DISTRICT**

THE PEOPLE,

Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

RICHARD ARRINGTON,

Defendant and Appellant.

F049625

(Super. Ct. No. BF110305A)

OPINION

THE COURT*

APPEAL from a judgment of the Superior Court of Kern County. Jon E. Stuebbe, Judge.

Michelle E. Guardado, under appointment by the Court of Appeal, for Defendant and Appellant.

Bill Lockyer, Attorney General, Robert R. Anderson, Chief Assistant Attorney General, Mary Jo Graves, Assistant Attorney General, and Charles A. French, Deputy Attorney General, for Plaintiff and Respondent.

-ooOoo-

* Before Vartabedian, Acting P.J., Cornell, J., and Gomes, J.

A jury convicted appellant, Richard Arrington, of displaying a false registration tag on a vehicle (Veh. Code, § 2263, subd. (a)(1)). In a separate proceeding appellant pled guilty to grand theft (Pen. Code, § 484). On November 16, 2005, the court sentenced appellant to a two-year term on his conviction for displaying a false registration tag. On November 22, 2005, the court sentenced appellant to a concurrent two-year term on his grand theft conviction.

On January 19, 2006, Arrington appealed from the sentence imposed on his conviction for grand theft.

Arrington's appellate counsel has filed a brief which summarizes the facts, with citations to the record, raises no issues, and asks this court to independently review the record. (*People v. Wende* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 436.) Arrington has not responded to this court's invitation to submit additional briefing.

Following independent review of the record we find that no reasonably arguable factual or legal issues exist.

The judgment is affirmed.